pp. F. IX-4

revious References
Census 38-30 vol 1

1931.
Census

File No. 21-30
5 & 8.

ter References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 3.

Part B for July 31

SUBJECT.

Detailed review of the provisional figures
regard to the population (men & women all over the.

6) The chief secretary desired to see these paper. Send as desired

Rz. 30/4.

7) Seen. This should not have taken 6 days to come

8) Please remind Census Supt within a week whether he has any statistics of interest that can be published

30/4

B.C
To Note
30/4

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

FILE No.....192

DEWAN 1931 6681 23 APR 43

C. Secy

1) We in Mysore don't seem to be anywhere near the stage reached or passed by the Cochin Govt. Except the figures (total) about certain important towns in the State, I have not seen any for the results of the work of the Census officials

2) It may be asked to hurry up.

Dr J
23-4-31

3) wrote to Census Supdt. DRM 28/4/31

4) Draft submitted DRM 28/4

5) Issue as revised. 28/4

issued. No. G. 10823 dt 29-4-31.

Draft, were a draft as directed in para 3 supra.

Issued 7/5

Issued 7/5

Issued No. G. 11579 dt 7/5

A PERIOD OF STEADY GROWTH

Increase of Population in Cochin

(From a Correspondent)

ERNAKULAM, April 20.

1) According to the recent census, the population of Cochin State has increased from 979,080 in 1921 to 1,204,235 in 1931. The percentage of increase is thus 23 as against 6.6 for the previous decade, the number of males having increased by 22.1 per cent. and that of females by 23.9 per cent. The annual rate of increase for the intercensal period of 1921 to 1931 is 2.1 per cent. as against .65 per cent. for the corresponding period of 1911 to 1921. The highest annual rate of increase on record previously was 1.9 per cent. for the intercensal period of 1881 to 1891, but the present rate has exceeded this record by .2 per cent. of all the taluqs, Mukundapuram shows the highest percentage of increase, viz., 25.84, while Chittur comes last of all with an increase of 14.03 per cent. only.

2) The Government state in an order reviewing the census operations that it is not possible to furnish at this stage a full and satisfactory explanation for the abnormal increase of population. Two circumstances are, however, noted. The first is that the decade under review was a period of steady and peaceful growth, during which the State was wholly free from the forces that generally operate as checks on the normal growth of population. Secondly, the increase all over India is seen to be above normal and the increase in Cochin is not, therefore, a feature peculiar to that State.

3) The Government have placed on record their high appreciation of the services rendered by those officials and non-officials who, by their hearty co-operation and conscientious effort, contributed to bring the census operations to a successful issue.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

From – The Census Supdt.
No. 3407 W/7-5-1931
for his opinion in the matter.
Send for perusal. It is for
whether a ~~the~~ reviewing
provincial figures may be done
~~to decrease~~
Dd Nil
-12/5

Please put up a copy
the Review as re-issued by the Ol
Dd
7

To the Publicity Office for kindly putting
up Copy of the above
Dd Nil
-12/5

A Copy of the press communiqué
issued on 4th March 1931 is put up.
Submitte
Dd
12-5

The Census despatch
submitted for perusal
with ref: to para.

MAY 1931

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
13 MAY 1931
No. 9979

File No. Insur of 1930.

General and Revenue Secretariat

on the Census for
No. 3412 of 12-5-1931
States that the more important facts
appearing from the Census have been
published for general information and
if Govt. desire to publish a
more detailed review the figures for the
population of men and women, both
in the Mainland and in the towns may be
some interesting
Send for orders.

Census Supt may be asked
send a detailed review of
provisional figures with reference to
the points
referred to in the last part of his
letter

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

From:- The Census Supdt.
No. 3445 D7 20-5-31

For a review of the figures of popu
tion of the towns and of certain other fea
of the provisional statistics for consid
tion.

Submitted for orders as to whether the revie
may be sent to the Publn. Office for
publication.

Ce W/
26/5

Chief Secy)

Second Member
Dewan

DEWAN'S OFFICE 6603 MAY 1931

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 29 MAY 1931 No. 10432

GENERAL SEC 2 JUNE 1931 No.

31-5-31

31/5/31

The Publicity Office for ~~for~~ the
sticking the Review
The Review may be published as a b
Publicity Office)

File No. C 3-23/PO-
of 26-31, of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Sabd. H. Copy of the press Note issued is herewith put up for kind perusal. It is for orders whether the Press Note may have to be translated.

Translation is put up herewith

Cov. 5/6/31

Asst Publicity Officer

with Compliments

Please send with Thanks

6/6/31

Issued No. C 214

7069
1931

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

A review of the figures of population of the towns and ~~of certain other features has been~~ received from the Cens. Supdt., and is subs. herewith. Subs. with a draft as ordered above

Cc
26/5

Issued
26/5

To the Cens. Supdt.
No. 8.11970 Dt 30-5-1931
Wants to send detailed remi of the provisional figures
from the Census Supt
. 3476 Dt 2-6-
invites attention to the review sent with our letter dt 20-5-1931 and states that another review will be submitted as soon these figures are available.
The Review referred to in the. P. U. D has been sent to the Publ. Office for publi cation & has been published already.
Subs. for personal.
Cc
26/5

2d Member)
6/

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
7 JUNE 1931
No. 7018

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
7 JUNE 1931
No. 10634

From. the Census Supdt. 27/6/31.
No. 3482 Dt 6-6-1931
Sds a note on the distribution

12411/1253

729/799/763

File No. of 193

General and Revenue Secretariat

Population among the Vanniars religions in Bangalore city, Mysore city and in the Civil District.

Submitted for perusal

12/6/31

2d Member) 12/6

12/6/31

Re 13/

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
12 JUNE 1931
No. 1673.

GENERAL MISCELLANEOUS BRANCH
15 JUIL.

GENERAL MISCELLANEOUS BRANCH
20 JULY 1931

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. G. 10823/Gen. Rev. Sec. Dated, Bangalore, 29/4/ 1931

From N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~K. RANGA RAO, Esq., B. A., B. L.,~~
Chief
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Census Superintendent in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a newspaper cutting regarding the result of the census in the Cochin State, I am directed to state that so far as the Mysore Census is concerned, beyond the submission of totals of the population in certain important towns, nothing further has been reported. I am to request you to kindly hurry up the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
RACE VIEW, HIGH GROUND,

Bangalore, dated 7th May *193* 1

FROM

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

-9 MAY 1931

11 MAY 1931

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

SIR, I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.G. 10823 dated the 29th April 1931, forwarding copy of a newspaper cuttin regarding the result of the Census in Cochin and observing that so far as the State is concerned nothing has been reported beyond the submission of totals of population for certain towns. Before submitting the information about certain towns on a requisition from you, I submitted for perusal of Government a review of the provisional figures of popul tion as ascertained by the Census. (Vide my letters Nos 2511 and 2511 dated the 3rd and 6th March 1931 respectively). The ground covered by the first paragraph of the enclosure to your letter is nearly the same as that of the review. The increase in the State not being abnormal, explanation of the kind attempted in para (2) of the enclosure seems unnecessary here. Whether a Government Order of the kind referred to in para (3) of the enclosure should issue reviewing the provisional figures is for ~~the~~ consideration ~~of Government~~. I may, however, bring to notice that the Publicity Officer has already re-issued the review of the provisional figures which I submitted for Government's perusal.

2. As separately reported Census work is making good progress. The Abstraction Office is expected to begin work about the 11th instant. I shall submit for perusal of Government copies of the tables as they are compiled from time to time.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkatesaiyengar

Census Superintendent.

No. 3412

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
RACE VIEW, HIGH GROUND,

Bangalore, dated 11th May *193*1.

FROM

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

GM 7089
GENERAL 1206
13 MAY 1931

To,

1. 1931 MS/DD

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. G.11519/Cen. dated the 7th May 1931 enquiring whether there are any statistics of interest that can be published for general information, I have the honour to state that the more important facts emerging from the Census have be published for general information in the review of the Preliminary Totals. The figures are provisional and it would perhaps be better to wait till the final figures are available to make any detailed comment. If, however, Government desire to publish a more detailed review, the figures for the population of men and women all over the State, the figures regarding the population in the Malnad and in the more important towns may be found interesting.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M. Venkatesaiyengar

CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT.

Secy's order on file 7033

Branch

7h - ppd 6
maybe asked to send
a review of these figures
for publication.
1st draft for issue
for
CS/S

Cypher 30.3.31

G. 1176o 3 18

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,

Census Superintendent in Mysore,

Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. 3410 dated 7th May 1931, forwarding a statement showing the population of all Municipal Towns in the State, I am directed to request you to be so good as to send a review of these figures for publication.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 3445/Rec:one

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated 20th May 1931.

FROM

V VENKATESA IYENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE.

TO

Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.11760/Census38-30 dated the 18th May 1931, I have the honour to forward herewith a review of the figures of population of the towns and of certain other features of the provisional statistics for consideration.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkatesa Iyengar

Census Superintendent.

The Original Review has been sent to the press for print.

16/31

C. No. 3-23/P.O.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLICITY OFFICER
TO GOVERNMENT,
(Camp) Mysore, dated 2nd June 1931.

PRESS NOTE

In a review of the Provisional Totals of the population of districts and cities in the State according to the Census carried out on 26th February 1931, published on 3rd March 1931, the population of the State was stated to be 6,557,871 comprising 3,354,899 males and 3,202,972 females. The final figures are not yet available but certain other details which might be of public interest are given below.

2. The following statement shows the population of the State at each Census since 1871 :—

Years	Population	Percentage of Increase (+) Decrease (—) during the decennium
1871 ..	5,055,402	....
1881 ..	4,186,188	— 17·10
1891 ..	4,943,604	+ 18·09
1901 ..	5,539,399	+ 12·05
1911 ..	5,806,193	+ 4·80
1921 ..	5,978,892	+ 2·90
1931 ..	6,557,871	+ 9·60

The highest rate of increase in any decade, namely, 18·09 was recorded in the Census of 1891 and the next highest in 1901. The increase recorded at the present Census comes next.

3. Taking the districts by themselves the highest rate of increase at this Census, namely, 15·15 per cent was in Bangalore District and the lowest, namely, 2·22 per cent in Hassan District. The lowest rates of increase after Hassan District relate, as might be expected, to Kadur District (4·20 per cent) and Shimoga District (5·48 per cent). There is reason to believe that if the *malnad* portions of these districts are taken separately, this population will be found to have decreased or remained stationary, the increase for the district as a whole in each case being due to the increase of population in the *maidan* parts of the districts. This is, however, a matter for investigation when final figures are available for all the taluks.

4. Among cities, and towns formed into separate charges, Bangalore City (172,242 as against 118,556 in 1921) shows the highest increase, namely, 45·38 per cent. Mysore City also shows noticeable increase, *viz.*, 27·38 per cent. Its population now exceeds a hundred thousand (107,150 as against 83,951 in 1921). The population of the remaining towns in 1921 and 1931 is given below:—

Sl. No.	Name of Town	Population in 1921	Population in 1931	Rate of increase
1	Kolar	13,368	16,177	21·1
2	Chintamani	6,119	4,980	—18·6
3	Chickballapur	10,431	11,514	10·4
4	Tiptur	4,311	5,160	19·7
5	Tumkur	14,246	18,215	27·9
6	Nanjangud	7,453	8,219	10·3
7	Hassan	8,096	10,544	30·2
8	Chickmagalur	10,207	12,220	19·7
9	Tarikere	7,858	8,212	4·5
10	Chitaldrug	8,520	10,732	25·9
11	Davangere	16,971	23,162	36·4
12	Shimoga	15,090	20,663	36·9
13	Sagar	4,987	5,689	14·1

5. Of other towns only Channapatna shows a population of over 10,000; sixty have a population of over 2,000 and below 5,000 and twenty-two have a population of over 5,000 and below 10,000.

Very large increases are recorded in the case of some of the towns. Kunigal, for example, shows an increase of 74·1 per cent and Sidlaghatta 72·2 per cent. Hunsur shows an increase of 59·9. The increases are negligible in towns like Alur (0·6), Heggadadevankote (0·64), Koppa (1·6) and Kumsi (1·50). Some of the towns show decreases, the largest percentage of decrease being in Naikanahatti (—58·2) and the smallest in Anekal (—4·3). The reasons for the large increases and decreases have to be investigated.

6. The figures for the present Census given above are all provisional.

7. Altogether, during the last decade, which particularly as contrasted with the previous one was a period of prosperity and free from epidemics, there has been a noticeable addition to the population of the State, both urban and rural.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪಬ್ಲಿಸಿಟಿ ಆಫೀಸರವರ ಕಚೇರಿ.

(ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ) ಮೈಸೂರು, 2ನೆಯ ಜೂನ್ 1931.

ಪ್ರ ಕ ಟ ನೆ.

1931ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 26ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ತೆಗೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿ (ಸೆನ್ಸಸ್) ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ನಾನಾ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟುಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ, ಟವನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಇರುವ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ತೇರೀಜು ಮಾಡಿ ವಿಂಗಡಿಸಿ, 1931ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ 3ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದಿತು. ಆ ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ, ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಒಟ್ಟು ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು 65,57,871 ಎಂತಲೂ ಈ ಪೈಕಿ 33,54,899 ಗಂಡಸರೂ 32,02,972 ಹೆಂಗಸರೂ ಇರುವರೆಂತಲೂ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಯಿತು. ಆಖೈರು ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳು ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ, ಜನಗಳು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡಬಹುದಾಗಿರುವಂಥ ಇತರ ಕೆಲವು ತಪಶೀಲುಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ವಿವರಿಸಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿದೆ.

2. ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ 1871 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಿಂದೀಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಪ್ರಕಾರವೂ ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಪ್ರಜಾಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತಪಶೀಲುವಾರಾಗಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿದೆ :—

ಇಸವಿ	ಪ್ರಜಾಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಪ್ರತಿ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಶೇಕಡಾವಾರು ಹೆಚ್ಚು (+) ಕಡಿಮೆ (—)
1871 ..	50,55,402	....
1881 ..	41,86,188	— 17·10
1891 ..	49,43,604	+ 18·09
1901 ..	55,39,399	+ 12·05
1911 ..	58,06,193	+ 4·80
1921 ..	59,78,892	+ 2·90
1931 ..	65,57,871	+ 9·60

ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಾಗಿರುವ ದಶವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಅವಧಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಉಂಟಾಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಜಾವೃದ್ಧಿಯನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸುವ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ 1891ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 18.09 ರಷ್ಟು ವೃದ್ಧಿಯು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಇದೇ ಪರಮಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದ ವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗಿದೆ; ಇದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ, 1901ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯ ಲೆಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವ ವೃದ್ಧಿಯು ಎರಡನೆಯದು ; 1931ನೆಯ ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಮೂರನೆಯದಾಗುವುದು.

3. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿ ನೋಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನ ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗುವ ದರವು ಈ ವರುಷದ ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದರೆ, ಶೇಕಡಾ 15.15ಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಶೇಕಡಾ 2.22ಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ, ಅತ್ಯಲ್ಪ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಇಳಿದಿದೆ. ಹಾಸನ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟರೆ, ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿರುವಂತೆ, ಕಡೂರು ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ (ಶೇಕಡಾ 4.20), ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿಯೂ (ಶೇಕಡಾ 5.48), ಇನ್ನು ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ದರಗಳು ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿವೆ. ಈ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟುಗಳ ಮಲೆನಾಡು ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾಗಿ ಗಣನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಿಯ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೋ, ಅಥವಾ ಮೊದಲು ಇದ್ದಂತೆ ಒಂದೇರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದಾಗಿಯೋ ಕಂಡುಬರುವುದೆಂದು ನಂಬಲು ಕಾರಣವಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಒಟ್ಟಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟಿನ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟುಗಳ ಮೈದಾನಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದುದೇ ಕಾರಣ. ಆದರೆ ಎಲ್ಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕುಗಳ ಆಖೈರು ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳೂ ದೊರೆತಾಗ, ಈ ವಿಷಯವು ಪರಿಶೋಧಿಸಲ್ಪಡತಕ್ಕುದಾಗಿದೆ.

4. ಸಿಟಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಭಾಗಗಳಾಗಿ ವರ್ಗಡಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಟೌನುಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು (1921 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1,18,556 ಇದ್ದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿ 1931 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1,72,242) ಅತ್ಯಧಿಕ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ, ಶೇಕಡಾ 45·38 ಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಿಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಹ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ, ಎಂದರೆ, ಶೇಕಡಾ 27·38 ಕ್ಕೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತದೆ. ಇದರ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಈಗ ಒಂದು ಲಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು (1921 ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ

83,951 ಇದ್ದುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ 1931 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1,07,150 ಕ್ಕೆ) ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಉಳಿದ ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 1921 ಮತ್ತು 1931 ನೆಯ ಸಾಲುಗಳ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಿದೆ :—

ಜಂಜರು ನಂ.	ಟೌನಿನ ಹೆಸರು	1921ನೆಯ ಇನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	1931ನೆಯ ಇನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅಧಿಕ್ಯದ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ
1	ಕೋಲಾರ	13,368	16,177	21·1
2	ಚಿಂತಾಮಣಿ	6,119	4,980	18·6
3	ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ	10,431	11,514	10·4
4	ತಿಪಟೂರು	4,311	5,160	19·7
5	ತುಮಕೂರು	14,246	18,215	27·9
6	ನಂಜನಗೂಡು	7,453	8,219	10·3
7	ಹಾಸನ	8,096	10,544	30·2
8	ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು	10,207	12,220	19·7
9	ತರೀಕೆರೆ	7,858	8,212	4·5
10	ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ	8,520	10,732	25·9
11	ದಾವಣಗೆರೆ	16,971	23,162	36·4
12	ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ	15,090	20,663	36·9
13	ಸಾಗರ	4,987	5,689	14·1

5. ಇತರ ಟೌನುಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆ ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ 10 000ಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಿದೆ. ಅರವತ್ತು ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 2,000ಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಆದರೆ 5,000ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿ ಜನಗಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೆರಡು ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 5,000ಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಆದರೆ 10,000ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯೂ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಿದೆ.

ಕೆಲವು ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಬಹಳವಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಉದಾಹರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಕುಣಿಗಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 74.1ರಷ್ಟೂ, ಶಿಡ್ಲಘಟ್ಟದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 72.2ರಷ್ಟೂ, ಹುಣಸೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ 59.9 ರಷ್ಟೂ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುವುದು ಅತ್ಯಲ್ಪವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸುವಷ್ಟೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಹೇಗೆಂದರೆ, ಆಲೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 0.6ರಷ್ಟು, ಹೆಗ್ಗಡದೇವನಕೋಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 0.64ರಷ್ಟು ಕೊಪ್ಪದಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 1.6ರಷ್ಟು ಮತ್ತು ಕುಂಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 1.50ರಷ್ಟು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಟೌನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಹೀಗೆ ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಟೌನುಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ನಾಯಕನಹಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲೇ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದು ; ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 58.2 ರಷ್ಟು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಆನೆಕಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇತರ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಟೌನುಗಳಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ತಗ್ಗಿಹೋಗಿದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಶೇಕಡಾ 4.3 ರಷ್ಟು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯು ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

6. ಈಗಿನ ಖಾನೇಷುಮಾರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟವುಗಳಾಗಿ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಸದ್ಯದ ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ನಡೆದಿರುವ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರದ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರವೇ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುವೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬೇಕು.

7. ಒಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ, ಈ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅದರ ಹಿಂದಣ ಹತ್ತು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಗಿಂತಲೂ ಹಚ್ಚು ಸುಭಕ್ಷವು ನೆಲೆಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದುದಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಾಂಕ್ರಾಮಿಕ ಜಾಡ್ಯಗಳೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದಕಾರಣ, ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯೂ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮಾಂತರ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯೂ ಗಣನೀಯವಾದಷ್ಟು ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ. ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಎಂ.,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪಬ್ಲಿಸಿಟಿ ಆಫೀಸರವರು.

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 20.5.31

Signed by Mr. R.A.N.

(Dir. Dep. To
as the points indicated
in the last sentence
of your letter

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Cens.

File No.

Serial No.

No. G. 1970 /

To

The Census Supdt

Sir,

with ref. to your letter No. 34
W/12-5-1931, I am directed
to request you to be so good as to
~~send detail~~ a more detailed review
~~review~~ of the provisional ~~a~~
~~the~~) in regard to ~~the figu~~
~~for~~ the population of men an
women all over the State, ~~=~~
population in the Malim
and in the more important
towns, as suggested in the la
part of your letter.

I have

Chief Eng

V 3-6
No. 3476

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
RACE VIEW, HIGH GROUND,

Bangalore, dated.....2nd June..... *193* 1.

FROM

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL MISCELL... ROOM
4 JUNE

TO

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.11970 dated the 30th May 1931 calling for a detailed review of the provisional figures in regard to the population of men and women all over the State, the population in the malnad and in the more important towns, I have the honor to invite attention to the review sent with my letter No.3445 dated the 20th May 1931 in which the population of the more important towns including the malnad ones and of all the districts according to the provisional figures has been dealt with in some detail. The final figures of population are expected to be ready in the course of a week. Another review dealing with the final figures will be submitted as soon as these figures are available.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkatesa Iyengar

Census Superintendent.

No. 3482/Rec: Cen

OFFICE OF THE CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated.....6th June.....193 1

FROM

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE.

7613
1253

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 JUNE 1931

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 3445 dated the 20th May 1931, I have the honour to forward herewith for perusal of Government a short note on the distribution of population among the various religions in Bangalore City, Mysore City and in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Venkatesaiyengar

Census Superintendent.

The distribution of the population of Bangalore and Mysore Cities and of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, among the four major religions - Hindu, Muhammadan, Christian and Jain - according to the recent Census having been ascertained, a summary of the results is given in the following note.

2. The following statement shows the population of these religions in Bangalore City in 1921 and 1931:-

Name of religion.	1931.	1921.	Percentage of increase or decrease + or -
Hindu	144,485	99,191	45.7
Muhammadan	19,931	13,112	52.0
Christian	6,764	4,945	36.8
Jain	1,153	942	22.4
Others ...	183	366	-50.0

The Muhammadan population shows the largest rate of increase which is 6.62 per cent more than the average for the city. The Hindu population comes next and then the Christian and Jain population The Hindus are 83.75, the Muhammadans 11.55, the Christians 3.90 and the Jains 0.67 per cent of the total population of the City in this Census.

3. The corresponding figures for Mysore City are as follows:-

Name of religion	In 1931.	In 1921.	Percentage of incr or decr.
Hindu ..	84,789	66,653	27.2
Muhammadan ..	17,772	13,752	29.2
Christian ..	3,947	2,798	41.1
Jain ..	549	693	-20.8
Others ..	85	55	54.6

In this case the Christian population shows the largest rate of increase which is 13.8 per cent more than the average for Mysore City. The Muhammadan population comes next. The Jain population shows a

a decrease. Of the population of the present Census, the Hindus are 79.13 per cent, the Muhammadans 16.60 per cent, the Christians 3.70 per cent and the Jains 0.51 per cent.

4. The following statement gives the corresponding figures for the Civil and Military Station:-

Name of religion	In 1931.	In 1921.	Percentage of incre or decre
Hindu	73,983	66,063	12.01
Muhammadan	28,630	25,074	14.18
Christian	29,941	26,689	12.18
Jain	815	635	28.30
Others	665	479	38.83

In this case the Jain population shows the largest rate of increase. The Muhammadan population comes next and the Hindu and Christian populations show nearly equal increase. Hindus form 55.2, Muhammadans 21.4, Christians 22.3 and Jains 0.60 per cent of the total population of the Station.

M. Venkatesalyngar

CENSUS SUPERINTENDENT.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLICITY OFFICER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE, PUBLIC OFFICES.

No. 1913-33—*P. O., dated Bangalore, 4th March* 1931.

PRESS COMMUNIQUE.

MYSORE CENSUS 1931.

The Seventh Decennial Census of the State was taken on the night of the 26th February 1931. According to the Provisional figures furnished by the Deputy Commissioners and Presidents of City Municipalities, the total population of the State inclusive of the population of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, and Railway areas both on the ceded and non-ceded lines is 6,557,871 comprising 3,354,899 males and 3,202,972 females. The number of occupied houses is 1,312,083.

2. Compared with the figures of the Census of 1921, there is an increase in the total population by 578,979 or about 10 per cent. The increase in the number of males is 307,782 and in the number of females 271,197. Occupied houses have increased by 115,200 a house being defined as the residence of a commensal family.

3. All the Districts have contributed to the increase. The increase in the case of the Bangalore and Mysore Cities, Bangalore, Tumkur and Chitaldrug Districts is particularly noticeable. The sub-joined table gives a summary of the figures which are all provisional.

Sl. No.	District	Number of occupied houses	Population			Increase since 1921	Percentage of increase
			Males	Females	Total		
1	Bangalore City ..	34,657	91,606	80,636	172,242	53,686	45·38
2	Mysore City ..	21,715	56,362	50,288	107,150	23,199	27·38
3	Bangalore District ..	177,063	462,112	446,258	908,370	119,991	15·15
4	Kolar do ..	170,971	435,311	415,724	851,035	58,696	7·6
5	Civil and Military Station ..	21,612	69,453	64,670	134,123	15,183	12·60
6	Hassan District ..	122,435	301,168	295,969	597,137	13,177	2·22
7	Kadur do ..	73,649	184,263	163,365	347,628	14,090	4·20
8	Chitaldrug do ..	124,798	337,113	319,615	656,728	82,549	14·90
9	Mysore do ..	291,050	702,884	699,283	1,402,167	82,799	6·30
10	Tumkur do ..	171,184	439,451	422,187	861,638	88,516	11·54
11	Shimoga do ..	102,949	274,676	244,977	519,653	27,093	5·48
	Total ..	1,312,083	3,354,899	3,202,972	6,557,871	578,979	..

R. RANGA RAO,
Publicity Officer to Government.